Exhibit 38



#: 9098

Document 172-36

CONFIDENTIAL

Transcript of Thomas Sidley

Date: March 10, 2023

Case: XR Communications, LLC -v- Amazon.com, Inc., et al.

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email:: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION TECHNOLOGY

```
1
            IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
            FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2
                      WACO DIVISION
3
4
     XR COMMUNICATIONS, LLC, dba
     VIVATO TECHNOLOGIES,
5
                      Plaintiff, )
6
               VS.
7
8
     AMAZON.COM, INC., AMAZON.COM ) Civil Action No.
     SERVICES LLC, and EERO LLC, ) 6:21-cv-00619-ADA
9
10
     CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., MERAKI ) Civil Action No.
                                     6:21-cv-00623-ADA
     LLC,
11
12
    MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
                                     Civil Action No.
                                      6:21-cv-00695-ADA
13
     SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD, )
14
                                      Civil Action No.
     et al.,
                                      6:21-cv-00622-ADA
15
                    Defendants. )
     -----)
16
17
                Videotaped Deposition of
18
            THOMAS SIDLEY, Conducted Virtually
19
                  Friday, March 10, 2023
20
                       CONFIDENTIAL
21
    13:08 EST
22
     Job No.: 484221
23
     Pages: 1 - 147
24
     Reported by: LISA M BARRETT, RPR, CRR, CRC, CSR
25
```

#: 9099

Transcript of Thomas Sidley Conducted on March 10, 2023

2

1	Virtual videotaped deposition of Thomas
2	Sidley,
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Taken pursuant to notice before
20	Lisa M. Barrett, a Certified Shorthand
21	Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter,
22	Certified Realtime Reporter, Registered
23	Professional Reporter and a Notary Public in
24	and for the State of Maryland.
25	

Transcript of Thomas Sidley Conducted on March 10, 2023

3

1	APPEARANCES:
2	(Via Zoom)
3	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF
4	and THE WITNESS:
5	Philip X. Wang, Esquire
6	Minna Chan, Esquire
7	RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
8	12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor
9	Los Angeles, California 90025
10	310-826-7474
11	
12	
13	ON BEHALF OF AMAZON.COM, INC.,
14	AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC,
15	and EERO LLC,
16	Annie A. Lee, Esquire
17	Eric Weiner, Esquire
18	MORRISON FOERSTER
19	425 Market Street
20	San Francisco, California 94105-2482
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Transcript of Thomas Sidley Conducted on March 10, 2023

4

1	APPEARANCES: (cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS CISCO
3	SYSTEMS, MERAKI LLC AND MICROSOFT
4	CORPORATION:
5	Peter M. Kohlhepp, Esquire
6	CARLSON CASPERS VANDENBURGH & LINDQUIST PA
7	225 South Sixth Street, Suite 4200
8	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
9	612-436-9659
10	
11	APPEARED ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT ASUSTEK:
12	John W. Downing, Esquire
13	KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES
14	333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 200
15	Redwood Shores, California 94065
16	650-453-5426
17	
18	ALSO PRESENT:
19	
20	Mr. Alan Heifetz, Technician Planet Depos
21	Mr. Alan Ross, Videographer
22	
23	
24	
25	

Transcript of Thomas Sidley Conducted on March 10, 2023

96

,		
1	document.	16:11:21
2	Q You remember working with attorneys at	16:11:26
3	Bullivant though, right?	16:11:28
4	A I don't have a firm recollection.	16:11:29
5	Q You were a client for Bullivant; do you	16:11:37
6	remember that?	16:11:39
7	A I don't have a firm recollection.	16:11:39
8	Q But do you remember requesting legal	16:11:45
9	services from Bullivant during your time at	16:11:48
10	Aequitas?	16:11:51
11	A I don't recall specific instances.	16:11:54
12	Q Okay, we don't need specific instances.	16:12:07
13	I think it would be crazy for me to	16:12:11
14	expect you to remember specific instances from	16:12:13
15	2009 but is it a fair characterization to say that	16:12:17
16	you were a client for Bullivant attorneys in 2009?	16:12:27
17	A By looking at this billing that appears	16:12:34
18	to be the case.	16:12:36
19	Q Okay.	16:12:39
20	All right, Mr. Heifetz, if we could go	16:12:40
21	to page BHB75.	16:12:51
22	And Mr. Sidley I would point your	16:13:15
23	attention to the first entry on August 13, 2009.	16:13:18
24	A Okay.	16:13:21
25	Q Just to place this in time, this is	16:13:22

Document 172-36 Filed 06/05/25 Page 8 of 14 PageID

CONFIDENTIAL

Transcript of Thomas Sidley Conducted on March 10, 2023

97

•		
1	about two weeks before the first petition for	16:13:24
2	revival that we saw earlier dated August 28, 2009.	16:13:27
3	Do you see this time entry from	16:13:31
4	Ann Pahk on August 13, 2009. Her name is at the	16:13:37
5	bottom of the block of test.	16:13:41
6	A I see Ann Pahk's name at the bottom of	16:13:41
7	that text.	16:13:44
8	Q Do you recognize that name "Ann Pahk"?	16:13:46
9	A I have no recollection of that name.	16:13:49
10	Q You don't think you ever talked to her?	16:13:50
11	A I have no recollection of that name.	16:13:53
12	Q Okay. And then the latter half of this	16:14:02
13	block of this text says:	16:14:03
14	"For directed wireless communication, US	16:14:04
15	Patent Application Number 10/700,329 in	16:14:04
16	anticipation of filing petition for revival of	16:14:09
17	unintentionally abandoned patent application with	16:14:13
18	the [USPTO]" [As read.]	16:14:16
19	Do you see that?	16:14:18
20	A I read that, yes.	16:14:20
21	Q Sorry, right before that it says,	16:14:22
22	"Prepare draft response to office action."	16:14:23
23	Do you see that?	16:14:27
24	A I see that text as well.	16:14:28
25	Q So Ann Pahk was working on a response	16:14:29

Transcript of Thomas Sidley Conducted on March 10, 2023

98

•		
1	to the office action in anticipation of filing a	16:14:34
2	petition to revival; that's what this says?	16:14:36
3	MR. WANG: Objection to form.	16:14:39
4	THE WITNESS: I mean, I see what is	16:14:47
5	written on the billing statement there.	16:14:48
6	BY MS. LEE:	16:14:53
7	Q Okay. And then do you see the second	16:14:53
8	entry for August 19, 2009 is for Carl Schwedler?	16:14:56
9	A That's dated August 17th, "Review of	16:15:05
10	prior art and file history"?	16:15:13
11	Q Sorry, it's the second August 19 entry.	16:15:15
12	A Oh, excuse me.	16:15:20
13	Q Right there.	16:15:21
14	A (Reading):	16:15:22
15	"Search of prior art and begin drafting	16:15:22
16	amendment and revival documents."	16:15:24
17	I read that, yes.	16:15:26
18	Q Correct. So on August 19, 2009, Carl	16:15:27
19	Schwedler was also working on revival documents,	16:15:30
20	right?	16:15:33
21	MR. WANG: Objection to form.	16:15:36
22	THE WITNESS: Well, there was a billing	16:15:37
23	amount for that service.	16:15:38
24	BY MS. LEE:	16:15:39
25	Q Okay. And then on the next page, the	16:15:39
		4

99

Transcript of Thomas Sidley Conducted on March 10, 2023

16:15:51 1 last -- sorry -- if we can go back up a page, the 16:16:00 2 last entry is from Robert Moore on August 21st, 16:16:08 3 2009; do you see that? 16:16:11 4 A Yes: 16:16:11 5 "Conference with Ms. Pahk with regard to 16:16:15 6 patent application revival matters; Robert Moore". 7 And a billing for \$138? 16:16:18 16:16:22 8 Correct. And that .4, that means .4 16:16:27 9 hours, correct? 16:16:27 10 A That's correct, yes. 16:16:29 11 So .4, that's around 20 minutes? Q 16:16:39 12 Yes, I accept that, yes. I've never 16:16:42 13 billed --14 16:16:42 -- (overspeaking) --16:16:44 15 That's okay, that's okay, I'm glad we 16:16:46 16 can agree on something. 16:16:48 17 Does this refresh your recollection as 18 16:16:49 to who Robert Moore was or what kind of work he 19 16:16:52 was doing for you? 16:16:54 20 Not at all. 16:17:00 21 Was Robert Moore a patent attorney? 22 I don't recall Robert Moore, I mean 16:17:05 23 other than the name. 16:17:08 16:17:08 24 Did you directly work with any patent 16:17:10 25 application attorneys at Bullivant?

cted on March 10, 2023

		1
1	A I don't recall.	16:17:12
2	Q You don't recall ever working with any	16:17:16
3	patent attorneys or you don't recall	16:17:19
4	A I don't recall	16:17:21
5	Q whether you did?	16:17:21
6	A I don't recall working with any patent	16:17:23
7	attorneys.	16:17:25
8	Q Okay. I will represent to you I looked	16:17:26
9	up who Robert Moore is. He's a securities	16:17:35
10	attorney who doesn't appear to have any patent	16:17:38
11	experience.	16:17:40
12	Do you have any idea why he would be	16:17:41
13	talking to Ms. Pahk about the 329 patent revival	16:17:44
14	application for 20 minutes?	16:17:47
15	A I have no recollection of this	16:17:54
16	transaction or why that billing exists.	16:17:55
17	Q Okay. Let's go to page BHB84.	16:18:16
18	And the first entry dated November 30	16:18:27
19	is also for Robert Moore.	16:18:29
20	The first part of this time entry says:	16:18:43
21	"Receive, review, and respond to emails from	16:18:45
22	Mr. Sidley and conferences with patent counsel	16:18:47
23	with regard to report to potential buyer."	16:18:50
24	Do you see that?	16:18:53
25	A Yes, I read that.	16:18:54

•		
1	Q Do you recall receiving any emails or	16:18:59
2	having discussions with Mr. Moore about this time	16:19:01
3	entry?	16:19:07
4	A I have no recollection of this	16:19:09
5	transaction.	16:19:10
6	Q Does this refresh your recollection as	16:19:13
7	to what Mr. Moore was doing for Aequitas at the	16:19:15
8	time?	16:19:22
9	A Not at all.	16:19:23
10	You don't remember even who he was.	16:19:36
11	A I can't picture him. The name	16:19:38
12	resonates but I can't even picture what he looks	16:19:42
13	like.	16:19:44
14	Q Okay. So the second part of this time	16:19:45
15	entry says:	16:20:04
16	"Receive and review response and report of	16:20:05
17	patent counsel and email to Mr. Sidley with regard	16:20:07
18	to communications to purchaser as to patent	16:20:09
19	revival status."	16:20:12
20	Do you see that?	16:20:14
21	A Yes, I read that.	16:20:14
22	Q Do you recall any emails or	16:20:16
23	conversations with Mr. Moore about communications	16:20:19
24	to purchasers as to patent revival status?	16:20:22
25	A Again, Annie, I have no recollection of	16:20:26

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM 101

1 16:20:30 this transaction. Okay. As you saw earlier, one of the 16:20:59 2 16:21:09 3 requirements to revive a patent application is 16:21:11 4 that statement of unintentional delay; do you 5 16:21:15 remember that? Do you remember our conversation 16:21:17 6 about that? 7 16:21:18 MR. WANG: Objection to form. 16:21:21 8 THE WITNESS: Annie, I'll be honest 9 with you, you've thrown a lot of information at me 16:21:22 16:21:25 10 so I -- if we could go back and review it, I... 16:21:29 11 BY MS. LEE: 16:21:29 12 Sure, yeah. 13 16:21:30 Mr. Heifetz, if we could put up the 16:21:41 14 revival based on unintentional delay document 16:21:44 15 again. Yep, that's the one. 16:21:47 16 Do you remember seeing this? 16:21:49 17 Yes, I do. Α 16:21:52 18 Okay. And do you remember that we 16:21:54 19 talked about -- if we can scroll down a little 16:21:58 20 bit, Mr. Heifetz, requirement number 3 was a 21 16:22:01 statement that the entire delay between the 22 16:22:04 required reply and the filing of the grantable 23 16:22:08 petition was unintentional; do you remember 16:22:11 24 talking about that? 16:22:12 25 I can -- under (3) I just re-read it,

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

102

CONFIDENTIAL

147

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Lisa M. Barrett, RPR, CRR, CRC, do
3	hereby certify that the witness was first duly
4	sworn by me and that I was authorized to and did
5	report said proceedings.
6	I further certify that the foregoing
7	transcript is a true and correct record of the
8	proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by
9	me stenographically and thereafter reduced to
10	typewriting under my supervision; that reading and
11	signing was not requested; and that I am neither
12	attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or
13	employed by, any of the parties to the action in
14	which this deposition was taken; and that I have
15	no interest, financial or otherwise, in this case.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
17	my hand this 11th day of March, 2023.
18	
19	Sautt -
20	Lisa M. Barrett, RPR, CRR, CRC, CSR
21	Certified Realtime Court Reporter
22	
23	
24	
25	